

# Math 142 Lecture 10 Notes

Daniel Raban

February 15, 2018

## 1 The Fundamental Group

### 1.1 Group structure of homotopy classes

Recall that the relation  $f \simeq g \text{ rel } A$  is an equivalence relation.

**Definition 1.1.** If  $X$  is a topological space, and  $p \in X$  is a point, we define the *fundamental group of  $X$  based at  $p$*  to be the set  $\pi_1(X, p)$  of homotopy classes  $\text{rel } \{0, 1\}$  of continuous paths from  $p$  to  $p$ ; i.e.

$$\pi_1(X, p) = \{[\gamma] : (\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow X) \text{ is continuous, } \gamma(0) = \gamma(1) = p\},$$

and  $[\gamma] = [\gamma'] \iff \gamma \simeq \gamma' \text{ rel } \{0, 1\}$ .

**Proposition 1.1.**  $\pi_1(X, p)$  is a group under the group operation  $[\alpha][\beta] = [\alpha \cdot \beta]$ , where  $\alpha \cdot \beta : [0, 1] \rightarrow X$  is

$$x \mapsto \begin{cases} \alpha(2x) & x \in [0, 1/2] \\ \beta(2x - 1) & x \in (1/2, 1]. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* We need to check that this operation is well-defined, i.e. if  $[\alpha] = [\alpha']$  and  $[\beta] = [\beta']$ , then  $[\alpha \cdot \beta] = [\alpha' \cdot \beta']$ . So if  $\alpha \simeq_F \alpha' \text{ rel } \{0, 1\}$  and  $\beta \simeq_G \beta' \text{ rel } \{0, 1\}$ , let

$$H(x, t) = \begin{cases} F(2x, t) & x \in [0, 1/2] \\ G(2x - 1, t) & x \in (1/2, 1]. \end{cases}$$

Then  $\alpha \cdot \beta \simeq_H \alpha' \cdot \beta' \text{ rel } \{0, 1\}$ , which is what we needed.

We need to check the group axioms:

1. Closure: From the definition, we get a path from  $p$  to  $p$ .
2. Identity: Let  $e : [0, 1] \rightarrow X$  be  $e(x) = p$  for all  $x \in [0, 1]$ . Then  $\alpha \cdot e$  is like  $\alpha$  for  $t$  up to  $1/2$ , and then it just stays at  $p$ . We want to “slide” the  $1/2$  mark over closer to 1. So let

$$F(x, t) = \begin{cases} \alpha & x \in [0, 1/2 + t/2] \\ p & x \in (1/2 + t/2, 1]. \end{cases}$$

Then  $\alpha \cdot e \simeq_f \alpha \text{ rel } \{0, 1\}$ . Similarly,  $e \cdot \alpha \simeq \alpha \text{ rel } \{0, 1\}$ . So  $[\alpha][e] = [\alpha] = [e][\alpha]$ .

3. Inverses: Given a path  $\alpha$  from  $p$  to  $p$ , let  $\alpha^{-1}[0, 1] \rightarrow X$  is  $x \mapsto \alpha(1 - x)$ ; this is running the path backwards. The idea here is that  $\alpha \cdot \alpha^{-1}$  goes from  $p$  to  $p$  along  $\alpha$  and then goes backwards; we want to start going backwards at  $\alpha(1 - t)$  and then increase  $t$ . So let

$$F(x, t) = \begin{cases} \alpha((2 - t)x) & x \in [0, 1/2 + t/2] \\ \alpha^{-1}((2 - 2t)x + (2t - 1)) & x \in (1/2 + t/2, 1]. \end{cases}$$

Then  $\alpha \cdot \alpha^{-1} \simeq_F e \text{ rel } \{0, 1\}$ . Similarly,  $\alpha^{-1} \cdot \alpha \simeq_F e \text{ rel } \{0, 1\}$ . So  $[\alpha][\alpha^{-1}] = [e] = [\alpha^{-1}][\alpha]$ .

4. Associativity: The idea is that  $(\alpha \cdot \beta) \cdot \gamma$  acts as  $\alpha$  and then  $\beta$  on the first two  $1/4$  intervals and then  $\gamma$  on the last  $1/2$ ;  $\alpha \cdot (\beta \cdot \gamma)$  acts as  $\alpha$  for the first  $1/2$  and then  $\beta$  and then  $\gamma$  on the later two  $1/4$  intervals. Instead of defining  $F$  directly, let  $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  be

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 2x & x \in [0, 1/4] \\ x + 1/4 & x \in (1/4, 1/2] \\ \frac{x+1}{2} & x \in (1/2, 1]. \end{cases}$$

Then  $((\alpha \cdot \beta) \cdot \gamma)(x) = (\alpha \cdot (\beta \cdot \gamma))(f(x))$ . Note that since  $[0, 1]$  is convex,  $f \simeq \text{id}_{[0,1]} \text{ rel } \{0, 1\}$  via the straight-line homotopy. So

$$\begin{aligned} (\alpha \cdot \beta) \cdot \gamma &= (\alpha \cdot (\beta \cdot \gamma)) \circ f \\ &\simeq (\alpha \cdot (\beta \cdot \gamma)) \circ \text{id}_{[0,1]} \text{ rel } \{0, 1\} \\ &= \alpha \cdot (\beta \cdot \gamma). \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

## 1.2 Changing the basepoint

Does the fundamental group depend on the choice of point  $p$ ?

**Definition 1.2.**  $A \subseteq X$  is a *path component* if  $A$  is path-connected and for any  $B$  with  $A \subsetneq B$ ,  $B$  is not path connected.

If  $[\gamma] \in \pi_1(X, p)$ , then for all  $x \in [0, 1]$   $\gamma(x)$  is in the same path component of  $X$  as  $p$ . A priori,  $\pi_1(X, p)$  depends on  $p$  and on the path component  $p$  is in.

**Theorem 1.1.** *If  $X$  is path-connected, then  $\pi_1(X, p) \cong \pi_1(X, q)$  for all  $p, q \in X$ .*

*Proof.* We can compose paths  $\gamma, \gamma'$  if  $\gamma(1) = \gamma'(0)$ . Similarly to in the previous proof,

$$(\gamma \cdot \gamma')(x) = \begin{cases} \gamma(2x) & x \in [0, 1/2] \\ \gamma'(2x - 1) & x \in (1/2, 1] \end{cases}$$

specifies a well-defined and associative operation with inverses (up to homotopy). So choose a path  $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow X$  with  $\gamma(0) = p$  and  $\gamma(1) = q$ . Define a map  $\gamma_* : \pi_1(X, p) \rightarrow \pi_1(X, q)$  taking  $[\alpha] \mapsto [\gamma^{-1} \cdot \alpha \cdot \gamma]$ .

We need to check that  $\gamma_*$  is well-defined: this is true as composition is well-defined on homotopy classes. To check that  $\gamma_*$  is a homomorphism, note that

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma^{-1} \cdot (\alpha \cdot \beta) \cdot \gamma &\simeq (\gamma^{-1} \cdot \alpha) \cdot (\beta \cdot \gamma) \text{ rel } \{0, 1\} \\ &= (\gamma^{-1} \cdot \alpha) \cdot e \cdot (\beta \cdot \gamma) \\ &\simeq (\gamma^{-1} \cdot \alpha) \cdot (\gamma \cdot \gamma^{-1}) \cdot (\beta \cdot \gamma) \text{ rel } \{0, 1\} \\ &\simeq (\gamma^{-1} \cdot \alpha \cdot \gamma) \cdot (\gamma^{-1} \cdot \beta \cdot \gamma) \text{ rel } \{0, 1\}, \end{aligned}$$

so  $\gamma_*([\alpha][\beta]) = \gamma_*([\alpha])\gamma_*([\beta])$ . The homomorphism  $\gamma_*$  is an isomorphism because it has the inverse  $(\gamma^{-1})_*$ . □

This allows us to write  $\pi_1(X)$  for a path-connected space  $X$ .